

## United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR        | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/977,264                     | 10/12/2001    | Christopher Lockton Brandin | NEO-0106                | 2260             |  |
| . 75                           | 90 06/24/2003 |                             |                         |                  |  |
| Law Offices of Dale B. Halling |               |                             | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Suite 311 24 South Weber St.   |               |                             | JUNG, DAVID YIUK        |                  |  |
| Colorado Spring                | gs, CO 80903  |                             | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                |               |                             | <u> </u>                | FAFER NUMBER     |  |
|                                |               |                             | 2175                    | .11              |  |
|                                |               |                             | DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003 | 9/               |  |
|                                |               | ,                           | DATE MAILED: 00/24/2003 | <i>v</i> /       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/977,264

Applicant(s)

Brandin

Examiner

**David Jung** 

Art Unit 2175

|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of  | on the cover she         | et with 1   | the correspondence address                            |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------|---|--|--|--|
|  | for Reply  |                          | _           |   |  |  |  |
|  | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  |                          |             |   |  |  |  |
| - Extensi  | ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In n   | no event, however, ma    | y a repty b | e timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the          |  |  |  |
| - If the p   | g date of this communication.<br>period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the  |                          |             |   |  |  |  |
| - Failure  | period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar<br>to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the  | he application to become | e ABANDO    | ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).                               |  |  |  |
|  | ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | nis communication, eve   | n if timely | filed, may reduce any                                 |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |                          |             | '   |  |  |  |
| 1) 💢   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 9, 200</u>  | 02                       |             |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) 🗌  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  | ion is non-final.        |             | '   |  |  |  |
| 3) 🗆   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. |                          |             |   |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | tion of Claims   |                          |             |   |  |  |  |
| 4) 💢   | Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>   |                          |             | is/are pending in the application.                    |  |  |  |
| 4  | a) Of the above, claim(s)  |                          |             | is/are withdrawn from consideration.                  |  |  |  |
| 5) 🗆   | Claim(s)   |                          |             | is/are allowed.                                       |  |  |  |
| 6) 💢   | Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>   |                          |             | is/are rejected.                                      |  |  |  |
| 7) 🗆   | Claim(s)   |                          |             | is/are objected to.                                   |  |  |  |
| 8) 🗆   | Claims   | are :                    | subject     | to restriction and/or election requirement.           |  |  |  |
| Applica  | tion Papers  |                          |             | !   |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗆   | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                          |             | :   |  |  |  |
| 10)□   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are   | a) 🗆 accepted            | or b)       | $\operatorname{\square}$ objected to by the Examiner. |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |                          |             |   |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: /                    | a) 🗆 ar     | pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.     |  |  |  |
|  | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to   | to this Office acti      | on.         | ı   |  |  |  |
| 12)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir   | ner.                     |             | ı   |  |  |  |
| Priority   | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                          |             | ı   |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |  |                          |             |   |  |  |  |
| a) 🗆   | a) All b) Some* c) None of:  |                          |             |   |  |  |  |
|  | 1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have   | e been received          | J•          | ı   |  |  |  |
|  | 2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have   | e been received          | in App!     | lication No   |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea  | au (PCT Rule 17          | 7.2(a)).    |   |  |  |  |
| *Se  | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the   | e certified copies       | s not re    | ceived.   |  |  |  |
| 14) 💢  | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic  | priority under 3         | 5 U.S.C     | C. § 119(e).  |  |  |  |
| _  | a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  |                          |             |   |  |  |  |
| 15)□   | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic  | priority under 3         | 5 U.S.C     | C. §§ 120 and/or 121.                                 |  |  |  |
| Attachme   |  |                          |             |   |  |  |  |
| $\sim$   | trice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | _                        |             | 0-413) Paper No(s)                                    |  |  |  |
|  | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | =                        | mal Patent  | t Application (PTO-152)                               |  |  |  |
| 3) 🗀 ını   | ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | 6) Other:                |             | !   |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2175

#### III. DETAILED ACTION

#### Claims Presented

1. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. What is meant by "icon"? In the specification, such as at pages 2 and 6, this "icon" is explicitly mentioned as existing within the context of transforms and polynomial coding. Yet, if the claims themselves were read independently of the specification, then this "icon" would mean something entirely different. In the broadest sense, the word "icon" could even mean the "icon" in the normal definition of the word "icon."

Art Unit: 2175

## Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 21 of of U. S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 2175

6167400 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: for instance, the icon handling of claim 21 of US Patent 6167400 is that of the icon handling of claims 1-17 of this application. Additionally, the other limitations of claims 1-17 are obvious extensions of that icon handling -- note the discussions on icons at pages 2 and 6 of this patent application.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

#### Potentially Allowable Subject Matter

7. No claim is allowed. Nevertheless, as indicated in rejections sections, some subject matter in the specification appears to be allowable (or at least more coherently discussable) upon a proper response to the rejections.

Art Unit: 2175

## Conclusion

8. The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

### Points of Contact

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-5606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2175

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II,
2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier

communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung

whose telephone number is (703) 308-5262 or Dov Popovici whose

telephone number is (703) 305-3830.

David Jung

-----

Patent Examiner